

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/501,308	DOUGLAS, FLOM H	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Paul Durand	3721	

**All Participants:**

(1) Paul Durand.

(2) Victor Kernus.

**Status of Application:** After final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 August 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

39 and 44

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*In claim 39, changed operation to system to clarify claim as an apparatus claim. In claim 44, changed uncouple to independent to clarify that the machines operate independent and asynchronous of each other.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Stephen F. Gerrity**  
Primary Examiner

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)